

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/215,555	12/18/1998	MAKOTO SANO	102382	7246
25944 75	590 11/04/2003		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			SINGH, RACHNA	
P.O. BOX 1992	28			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	16

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/215,555	SANO ET AL.	
Auticoly Medicin	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rachna Singh	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee to the first period of the fee to the first period of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee to the first period of the fee to	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The final properties or the final f	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below):	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	see ite i L below),	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in	••	rially reducing or sig	mplifving the
issues for appeal; and/or	, action to the care of the care	, roddonig or on	pyge
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	│ will be entered a w or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∏ approved or b)∏ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10.	Say	2	
	SANJIV		
S. Patent and Trademark Office	PRIMARY E		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As stated in previous office actions, Dennis does disclose distinguising print data according to the type of print data and decides whether the units have common data. See columns 6-8 in which Dennis teaches that different shapes and graphical objects require different reconstruction means. Furthermore, Dennis distinguishes the type of print data according to the type of object and processies it accordingly. Moreover, Dennis also considers "overlapping" objects. See figure 3. Objects that cross band boundaries are divided at band boundaries. The objects are taken in order that they are created. In reference to Applicant's argument that Ramachandran does not teach clipping raster data. Please see column 14, lines1-10 of Ramachandran..

SANJIV SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER